**Body 2 Topic Sentence:**

When a public figure such as an athlete refuses to stand for the national anthem, it causes people to pay attention and generates great conversation.

Evidence: Whose attention did they get? Did they get attention from any of the fans? What did the fans say, or how did they react? Did they get attention from any of the people in government? What did they say, or how did they react?

Reasoning: Your explanation of the evidence that you found in the text.

**Body 3**

**Topic sentence/counterargument**: Opponents believe that when an athlete refuses to stand for the national anthem, they are creating division in our country.

**(Reasons why they believe this):** They believe this because it has caused division among teams and fans across the country.

**(Reason 3/Your Strongest Argument)** Allowing athletes to refuse standing for the national anthem, which is a legal form of protest, is simply giving them their first amendment right.

**Conclusion**

When an athlete is appropriately and justifiably protesting, generating conversation across the nation, and simply exercising his/her first amendment right, then they should be able to refuse standing for the national anthem.

It is evident that athletes have the right to refuse standing for the national anthem, regardless of their status.

**Recommendation:** As long as a person is exhibiting their human rights, then there should be no disciplinary action given.

**Prediction:** If we allow our human rights to continue to be violated, we may lose them or be denied them.
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