

High School Capacity, Enrollment, & Boundaries

Board Study Session March 1, 2018



Boundaries, Capacity, and Enrollment Timeline



A Special Report or Discussion item has appeared on every Board agenda since the topic was first discussed in May 2017.

Boundaries, Capacity, and Enrollment Timeline

Community Forum Feedback Presented



Additional Options Brought Forward

Board Study Session



Solution To Address Infrastructure

Solution To Address High School Capacity

January 2018 February 2018

March 2018

Approve Ey March 12, 2018

Tax is based upon the Taxable Value of the District and construction costs

- Current Taxable Value \$3,321,364,149
- Millage rates are equal \$1 for every \$1,000 of taxable value-
 - Example: A 1 mill tax would raise annually \$3,321,364
 - If life was simple, you could divide the amount needed by the millage rate to determine the cost of what you would need to levy with the millage.

Items impacting the calculation:

- Cost of the project initially
 - Construction is typically finished in 12-18 months depending on the complexity and staging of the project
 - The larger the project the longer time it takes to finish For example:
 - New foundation work before new roof work
 - Cost of inflation as the project moves towards completion (time value of money)
 - Existing facility being used
 - Planning and phasing of projects

What would a new high school cost:

- Estimated around 2 mills for bonds of \$117,500,000
- Factors in calculation:
 - Using a 2% growth in Taxable Value of properties 0
 - Borrowing rate on Bonds of 5%
 - Payback of 20 years
- What does it mean for household:
 - House value of \$75,000 -\$ 75 annually
 - House value of \$100,000 \$100 annually
 - House value of \$150,000 \$150 annually

- Other factors for consideration
 - Current Infrastructure needs
 - \$162 Million in future needs in the next 3-10 years
 - This includes roofs, domestic water & gas lines, interior finishes (floors), building envelope (tuckpointing, brick, etc..), parking lots, walkways, kitchens, bathrooms, boilers, HVAC, etc..
 - Technology Infrastructure
 - Security and Safety

- Opportunity similar to 2014
 - Refunded Bonds in 2016 to save taxpayers
 \$3.6 million in future payments
 - Opportunity to address infrastructure and long term capacity issues in 2019 with a new bond program
 - No mill increase in debt millage
 - \$175 million to address construction/replacement of district infrastructure or new construction
 - Current millage would remain in place through 2040 based upon a conservative 2% TV growth and 5% interest rates.

Building Square Footage and History

02
03
3,94,201
03 3,94

6

Building Square Footage and History

School	Sgr.Ft.	Year Built	Renovation
Maple	60,500	1929	1936
Howard	36,220	1944	1945,49,54
ASC	54,700	1945	1950,59,92
Long	34,830	1945	1955
Nowlin	37,060	1945	1950,53
Haigh	45,600	1947	1949,50,51,52,54,65,6
Bryant	180,950	1951	2015
Snow	62,170	1952	1955,2015
O.L. Smith	128,400	1954	
Edsel Ford	241,562	1955	1962,73,2003
Dearborn High	234,335	1957	1962,82,2003

9

Building Square Footage and History

School	Sqr.Ft.	Year Built	Renovation
River Oaks	38,000	1960	2016
Stout	155,922	1960	1998,99
Becker	36,300	1992	
William Ford	62,200	1992	2015
Miller	70,200	1996	
Cotter	32,500	1999	
Salina Elem.	78,000	2003	
McCollough-Unis	207,319	2004	
Berry Career Ctr	63,063	2005	2013,14
Geer Park	38,480	2005	2015
Service Building	80,000	Unknown	

Current High School Boundaries

Dearborn High

Bryant- All Smith- About 50 Unis- 40 Stout- About ½ (Maples area)

Edsel Ford

Salina- All Smith- Majority of students Stout- About ¹⁄₂

(Snow area, a few from Woodworth)

Fordson

Lowrey- All Woodworth- 95% Unis- 90% Stout- About 20 students



	DEARBOR	E	DSEL FORD		FORDSON	
Grades 9-12	Bryant: 270 =108	30	Salina:	120 = 480	Lowrey:	190 = 760
	Smith: 190 = 76	60	Stout: 1160	290 =	Unis:	215 = 860
			WW:	50 = 200	WW:	260 = 1040
	STEM: 15 = 6	0	STEM:	10 = 40	STEM:	30 = 120
TOTALS	19	00		1880		2780

Current High School Boundary



Proposal 1 High School Boundary



- CHANGES: Smith, Stout, Unis, Woodworth
- SPLIT SCHOOLS: Woodworth
- TRANSPORTATION: No change in busing services DHS:14 to 4 & EFHS:10 to 20
- CONSTRUCTION: Solution would likely require EFHS expansion & 4 classes at DHS

Pros-

- More aligned feeder school model supports academics
- Reduce student numbers at Dearborn and Fordson
- Phase in 2018-19 school year

Cons-

- Moving Smith students to DHS not favored by many in the Edsel Ford community
- Possible loss of school identity at Edsel Ford



	DEARBORN		E	DSEL FORD		FORDSON
Grades 0 12	Bryant:	270 - 1080	Salina	120 - 480	Lowrow	100 - 760
Grades 9-12	Diyanı.	270 - 1080	Saina.	120 - 400	LOWICy.	190 - 700
	Stout:	125 = 500	Stout:	145 = 580	Stout:	20 = 80
	Unis:	45 = 180	Smith:	190 = 760	Unis:	170 = 680
			WW:	50 = 200	WW:	260 = 1040
	OTEM	45 00	OTEM	10 10	OTEM	00 100
	STEM:	15 = 60	STEM:	10 = 40	STEM:	30 = 120
TOTALS		1820		2060		2680

Proposal #2 Current High School Boundary



Proposal 2 High School Boundary



- CHANGES: Smith (Park, Nona, Beech Street and River Oaks), Stout (FHS),
- SPLIT SCHOOLS: Stout, Unis
- TRANSPORTATION: Increase of 2 buses (services per bus \$54,000 for a total of \$108,000)
- CONSTRUCTION: Edsel Ford expansion required & 4 classes at DHS

Pros-

- Maintain Edsel Ford's school identity
- Aligned with Community Forum feedback
- Reduces student numbers at DHS & Fordson
- Phase in 18-19

Cons-

- Less help for feeder model
- River Oaks students attending Smith move from DHS to Edsel Ford



		DEARBORN	EC	DSEL FORD		FORDSON
	Bryant:	270 = 1080	Salina:	120 = 480	Lowrey:	190 = 760
	Smith:	190 = 760		May 1	Unis:	215 = 860
			WW(HF/Mille	er):190= 760	WW(WF & I	Miller):120= 480
			Stout:	145 = 580	Stout:	125 = 500
	STEM:	15 = 60	STEM:	10 = 40	STEM:	30 = 120
TOTALS		1900		1860		2720

Proposal #3 Current High School Boundary



Proposal 3 High School Boundary



- CHANGES: Unis/Stout to FHS, WW/Stout split to EF and FHS
- SPLIT SCHOOLS: Stout, Woodworth
- TRANSPORTATION: One bus increase, DHS 4 buses, EFHS 21 buses
- CONSTRUCTION: Would require EFHS expansion & would likely require 4 classes at DHS

Pros-

- More aligned feeder school model supports academics
- Reduce student numbers at Dearborn and Fordson
- Phase in 2018-19 school year



Cons-

- Moving Smith students to DHS not favored by many in the Edsel Ford community
- Possible loss of school identity at Edsel Ford

New Proposals As A Result Of Input From Community Forums



DEARE	ORN	EDSEL	FORD		FORDSON
Bryant:	1080	Salina:	480	Lowrey:	760
Unis:	860	Smith:	760	Woodworth:	1240
		Stout (1/2):	580	Stout (1/2):	580
STEM:	60	STEM:	60	STEM:	100
TOTAL =	2000	TOTAL =	= 1880	то	TAL = 2680

Proposal 4

Current High School Boundary





Bussing(after 4 year transition):Dearborn High=14, Edsel Ford=12 26 Total (net plus 2)

Construction: Dearborn High, Edsel, Berry Center

Timeline: Fall 2018: 50 from DHS to Edsel Ford (River Oaks area). Then phase in alignment with construction expansion

Pros-

- More aligned feeder school model supports academics
- Phase in starting 2018-19 school year

Cons-

- 2 additional buses needed at Dearborn High
- Not a significant change to DHS or Fordson enrollment



Proposal 5A

DEARBORN		EDS	EL FORD	FORDSON		
Bryant:	1080	Salina:	480	Lowrey:	760	
		Woodworth:	200	Woodworth:	1040	
Smith (RO)	180	Smith:	580	Unis:	860	
Stout:	500	Stout (1/2):	580	Stout:	80	
STEM:	60	STEM:	40	STEM:	120	
TOTAL = 1820		TOTA	AL = 1880	то	TAL = 2860	

Proposal 5A

Proposal 5A





Bussing (after 4 year transition):Dearborn High=14, Edsel Ford=13 27 Total (net plus 3) Construction: Dearborn High, Edsel, Berry Center Timeline:

Proposal 5B

DEARBORN		EDSEL	FORD	FORDSON	
Bryant:	1080	Salina:	480	Lowrey:	760
		Woodworth: (H.Ford & Miller)	480	Woodworth: (W.Ford & Miller)	760
Smith (RO)	180	Smith:	580	Unis:	860
Stout:	500	Stout (1/2):	580	Stout:	80
STEM:	60	STEM:	40	STEM:	120
TOTAL =	1820	TOTAL	= 2160	ΤΟΤΑ	L = 2580

Proposal 5B

Proposal 5B





Bussing (after 4 year transition):Dearborn High=13, Edsel Ford=20 33 Total

Construction: Dearborn High, Edsel, Berry Center

Timeline: Fall 2018: 50 from DHS to Fordson Then phase in alignment with construction expansion

Proposal 2			Proposal 5A			Proposal 5B		
DHS	EFHS	FHS	DHS	EFHS	FHS	DHS	EFHS	FHS
Bryant: 1080	Salina: 480	Lowrey: 760	Bryant: 1080	Salina: 480	Lowrey: 760	Bryant: 1080	Salina: 480	Lowrey: 760
Stout:500	Stout:580	Stout:80	Stout:500	Stout:580	Stout: 80	Stout:500	Stout:580	Stout: 80
STEM:60	STEM:40	STEM:120	STEM:60	STEM:40	STEM:120	STEM:60	STEM:40	STEM:120
	WW:200	WW:1040		10/10/-200			WW (H.Ford & Miller): 480	WW W.Ford & Miller: 760
Unis: 180	Smith:760	Unis:680	Smith:180	Smith:580	Unis:860	Smith:180	Smith:580	Unis:860
TOTAL 1820	TOTAL 2060	TOTAL 2680	TOTAL 1820	TOTAL 1880	TOTAL 2860	TOTAL 1820	TOTAL 2160	TOTAL 2580

	DEARBORN	EDSEL	FORD	FOF	RDSON
Bryant:	1080	Salina:	480	Lowrey:	760
Woodworth	(HF/Miller): 880			Woodworth(W. Ford): 360	
		Smith:	760	Unis:	860
		Stout 1/2:	580	Stout 1/2:	580
STEM:	40	STEM:	50	STEM:	130
	FOTAL = 2000	TOTAL	= 1870	TOTAL	= 2690

Current High School Boundary

Proposal 6



Bussing (after 4 year transition): Dearborn High=14, Edsel Ford=12 26 Total (net plus 2)

Construction: Dearborn High, Edsel, Berry Center

Timeline: Fall 2018: 50 from DHS (River Oaks area) to Edsel Ford. 45 From DHS (Unis area) to Fordson. Then phase in alignment with construction expansion Proposal 7- Ninth Grade Academy Proposal 8- New High School

Architect & Construction Company Information



Proposal 7- Ninth Grade Academy

	DHS		EFHS		FHS / 9th grade
Bryant	1080	Salina	480	Lowrey	570 / 190
Smith	160	Stout (1/2)	580	Woodworth	930 / 310
Stout	500	Smith	600	Stout	60 / 20
			-21	Unis	645 / 215
STEM	40	STEM	30	STEM	115 / 35
TOTAL=	1780	TOTAL=	1690	TOTAL =	2320 / 770



High School Capacity, Enrollment & Boundaries

