
### 9/19 Quick Write:

Look at the Bookmark: Part 1 (front side)

As you read a **Source**, what is one question I may ask you to think about while reading? (Write down ONE of these questions.)

### 9/19 Quick Write:



#### **Objectives:**

#### **Content:**

I can compare multiple sources for reliability by annotating with the Bookmark tool.

#### Language:

I can write my comparison of multiple source using the sentence stem:

Source #\_\_\_\_ is more reliable than Source #\_\_\_\_ because...

#### السؤال الرئيسي CENTRAL QUESTION

Which sources are most **reliable** for learning about the dodecahedron?

ماهي المصادر الأكثر ميثاقية (مصداقية) لنعرف عن dodecahedron?

### YouTube User's Theory (Source #2)

<u>Headnote:</u> Martin Hallett is a YouTube user who had a theory about the dodecahedra and used his 3-D printer to test it. The text below is from the YouTube video. Note: You should read the text before watching the video clip.


There are lots of ideas about what they were for but none were completely convincing. Why are they only found in the cold North of Europe for example?

There is a hint in the hole sizes. The holes go small, medium, large, medium, large on one side and L, M, L, M, S on the other side. And that's what fingers do.

And the studs in the corners look designed to catch wool. So that's what I tried... and look what happened next.

(The video shows Hallett using a 3-D model of a dodecahedron to knit a glove out of yarn. He uses the notches next to each hole to catch the yarn. His son tries it on his hand at the end.)

**Bookmark: Part 1**First: **Headnote** & **Attribution** 




<u>Attribution:</u> Published on YouTube on May 26, 2014 by Martin Hallett. Retrieved from <a href="https://youtu.be/poGapxsanal?t=204">https://youtu.be/poGapxsanal?t=204</a>

#### **Bookmark: Part 1**

Second: the Source




YouTube User's Theory (Source #2)





### **Bookmark Part 2: Reasoning about Evidence**



### YouTube User's Theory (Source #2)

Let's say we feel this is a reliable source...

What question could we answer to help show that this is a trustworthy source?

Discuss with your table group.


#### Reasoning about the Evidence low trustworthy is the author/artist given the central question we are trying to answer? Was the person who created this in a position to know about t Was the person who created this an insider or an outsider? (Ex: speaks the same language, knows a lot about topic) What was the point of view of the person who created this? What does the person who created this want the reader to think or Is the source believable? What was going on in the context that the source came from that might affect its usefulness given the central question we are trying to answer Was this source created at the time when the events happened? Does What else was going on at this time that might have influenced the author/artist? How does this time/place compare to us today? What's the same or juse voices or perspectives are not represented How do we weigh this source in comparison with others? Does this source provide the same information as other source In what ways does this source agree or disagree with others? How do the ideas of the person who created this source compare to other ideas from the same time period or place? What can this source tell us? What can't we learn from this source?

### YouTube User's Theory (Source #2)

Now, let's say we feel this is an unreliable source...

What question could we answer to help show that this source is **NOT** trustworthy?

Discuss with your table group.



### YouTube User's Theory (Source #2)

AFTER YOU READ (1) How reliable is this source for learning about the dodecahedron?
Reliable –
<u>Unreliable</u> –
(2) What does this video from YouTube help you understand about the dodecahedron?

(3) Box the parts of the source that help you think about the central question.

### **Uncertain Devices (Source #3)**

<u>Headnote:</u> M.J.T. Lewis studied classics at the University of Cambridge and was an expert on industrial archaeology (artifacts used in industry). He wrote a book on Surveying Instruments of Greece and Rome. Surveying is measuring the land in order to make a map or plan. The only mention of the dodecahedra in the book is in a section at the end of the book called "Uncertain Devices".


One suggestion is that they were for surveying... One historian proposed that 'The Roman surveyor would look through a pair of holes, holding the instrument far enough from his eye for the circles to coincide; he would then instruct his assistant, holding a rod of given length, to move far enough in the required direction for the rod to fill the opening precisely. The distance between surveyor and assistant would then be the length of the rod multiplied by the figure appropriate to the pairs of holes being used.'

But there are many objections to this suggestion. Most dodecahedrons do not have such neat proportions; no dodecahedra has a dimension or scale inscribed against a hole; and it would be far simpler and more accurate to measure the distance directly by rope or rod.

<u>Attribution:</u> Modified from Surveying Instruments of Greece and Rome, 2004, written by M.J.T. Lewis, published by Cambridge University Press.

# **Bookmark: Part 1**First: **Headnote** & **Attribution**

#### Bookmark: Part 1




#### **Bookmark: Part 1**

Second: the Source




# Uncertain Devices (Source #3)

Underline the beginning of each sentence!




### **Bookmark Part 2: Reasoning about Evidence**



### **Uncertain Devices** (Source #3)

What question could we answer to help show that this is a <u>trustworthy source</u>?


Discuss with your table group.



# **Uncertain Devices** (Source #3)

What question could we answer to help show that this is NOT a trustworthy source?

Discuss with your table group.



# **Uncertain Devices** (Source #3)

AFTER YOU READ (1) How reliable is this source for learning about the dodecahedron?
Reliable –
<u>Unreliable</u> –
(2) What does this video from YouTube help you understand about the dodecahedron?

(3) Box the parts of the source that help you think about the central question.

#### السؤال الرئيسي CENTRAL QUESTION

Which sources are most **reliable** for learning about the dodecahedron?

ماهي المصادر الأكثر ميثاقية (مصداقية) لنعرف عن dodecahedron?